APXAIOAOFIA.

Περί του έν Αίγίνη νομιζομένα του Πανελληνία Διος ναού είκασίας.

Δύο περίπου ὅρας δὶ εὕζωνον ἄνδρα μακράν τῆς παλαιᾶς καὶ νέας Αἰγίνης πρὸς ἀνατολὰς, φαίνονται τὰ μεγαλοπρεπῆ λείψανα τοῦ δωρικοῦ ναοῦ, φημιζομένου ἔτι μᾶλλον καὶ εἰς τοὺς καθ ἡμᾶς χοόνους διὰ τ' ἀνακαλυφθέντα 18 ἀγάλματα κατὰ τὸ 1811 ὑπὸ τῶν κυρίων Cockerel, Forster, Linck, et Haller, τὰ ὁποῖα ἔγειναν σημαντικὰ εἰς τὴν ἱστορίαν τῆς γλυπτικῆς, καὶ ςολίζουσι σήμερον τὸ δασιλικὸν τοῦ Μοναχίου μουσεῖον. Απὸ τοὺς περιηγητὰς, τεχνίτας καὶ συγγραφεῖς, ὁ ναὸς οὐτος γνωρίζεται ὑπὸ τὴν ἐπωνυμίαν τοῦ Πανελληνίου Διός ἀλλ' ἀνήκει εῖς αὐτὸν ἡ ἐπωνυμία αὕτη;

Οί πρῶτοι, οἴ τινες, ὡς ἐγὼ ἐξεύρω, οὕτω τὸν ἐπωνόμασαν, ἦσαν οἱ συνοδωπόροι Spon καὶ Wheler κατὰ τὸ 1657 (1), ὅχι ὅμως

όρθως κατά την ίδικην μου κρίσιν ίδου οι λόγοι.

Ο Παυσανίας λέγει «Τὸ δὲ Πανελλήνιον ὅτι μὴ τοῦ Διὸς τὸ ἱερὸν, ἀλλο τὸ ὅρος ἀξιόλογον εἶχεν οἰδὲν » (Βιδλ. Β΄, Κεφ. 3ο). Ο ἱςορικὸς λοιπὸν ὁμιλεῖ κυρίως περὶ ἐνὸς ὅρους, καὶ ἐν παρόδω ἀναφέρει τὸ ἐπ' αὐτοῦ ἀκοδομημενον ἱερόν. Ο νομιζόμενος ναὸς τοῦ Μανελληνίου Διὸς κεῖται μὲν ἐφ' ὑψηλοῦ τόπευ, ὡς εἶναι σχεδὸν ὅλα τὰ μέρη τῆς νήσου, ὅχι ὅμως ἐπί τινος ὅρους, ὡςε καὶ ὁ Spou καὶ ὁ Wheler ὀρθῶς λέγουσιν ὅτι κεῖται ἐπὶ λόφου.

Ο Θεόφραστος λέγει, ότι αι επί κορυφής τοῦ εν Αιγίνη Ελοληνίου Διὸς φαινόμεναι νεφέλαι ήσαν βροχής σημεΐον εἰς τοὺς Αθηναίους, τὸ ὁποῖον ἀρμόζει μᾶλλον εἰς ὅρος παρὰ εἰς λόφον. (Περὶ σημείων καὶ πνευμάτων κ.τ.λ.). Ο νομιζ μενος ναὸς τοῦ Ηανελληνίου Διὸς ἀκήκει εἰς τοὺς καλοὺς τῆς ἀρχιτεκτονικής χρόνους, τὸ

⁽¹⁾ Le peu qui reste d'antiquités dans l'île (d'Egine), consiste en deux temples L'un.... Le second est de l'autre côté de la ville à quatre milles, en face d'Athénes, dans un bois sur une colline, en fort belle vue. C'était le temple de Jupiter, qu'Acacus prêmier roi de l'île avait dédié. (Voy. T. 11, p. 278. 221 Liv. 3. p. 508.

Conjectures on the Temple of Ægina believed to be the Temple of Jupiter Panellenius.

ABOUT two hours good walk east from the old and new town of Ægina the magnificent ruins of the Doric Temple are seen. They have been rendered still remarkable in our time for the 18 statues discovered there in the year 1811, by Messrs. Cockerel, Foster, Linch and Haller, which became conspicuous in the history of sculpture, and at this day enrich the Royal Museum of Munich. Amongst Travellers, Artists, and Authors, this Temple is known under the appellation of Jupiter Panellenius; but is that the appellation which befits it?

The first who, to our knowledge, called it by that name were the two travellers *Spon* and *Wheeler*, in the year 1657 (1); they did so improperly for the following reasons.

Pausanias says "The Panellenium Mountain with the exception of Jupiter's Sanctuary had nothing else remarkable. (Book 2. Ch. 30.") τὸ δὲ Πανελλήνιον ὅτι μὰ τοῦ Διὸς τὸ Ιερὸν, ἄλλο τὸ ὅρος ἀξιόλογον εἶχεν ουδέν. The Historian therefore speaks principally of a mountain, and incidentally makes mention of the Sanctuary constructed on it. The Temple in question considered as that of Jupiter Panellenius is situated on one of the heights, so numerous all over the Island, but not upon a mountain; Spon and Wheeler are so far right in saying that it is situated upon a hill.

Theophrastus says, that the clouds appearing on the top of Ελληνίου Διας at Ægina were a sign of rain to the Athe-

⁽¹⁾ The few remains of Antiquities in the Island of Ægina, consist of two temples. The one... The second is on the other side of the town at the distance of four miles, facing Athens, and situated in a woody hill, commanding a very fine view. This was Jupiter's Temple, dedicated by Æacus, first King of the Island (Voy. T. 11. p. 278, and Book. 3. p. 508).

δε επί του σρους ιερόν « λέγουσιν Λιακόν ποιήσαι » κατά τόν Παυσανίαν, τουτέστι τρεῖς γενεάς πρό του Τρωϊκού πολέμου.

Επειδή πολύν καιρόν κατεπίεζεν αὐχμός τὴν Ελλάδα, καὶ τὰ μέγεθος τῆς σύμφορᾶς ηύξανε καθ' ὑπερδολὴν, καὶ πολλοὶ ἄνθρωποὶ διεφθάρησαν, οἱ προεστώτες ἐκάστης πόλεως ῆλθον διὰ σύμβουλῆς τῆς Πυθίας πρὸς τὸν Λἰακὸν, νομίζοντες αὐτὸν ὡς τὸν μόνον ἰκανὸν ἰκετεύσαντα νὰ ἔξιλεώση τὸν Δία. Οθεν, ἀφ'οῦ ὁ Αἰακὸς ἐθυσίασε καὶ προσηύξατο εἰς τὸν Πανελλήνιον Δία, ἔδρεξεν εἰς τὴν Ελλάδα, καὶ εὕτως ἀπηλλάγησαν ἐκεῖνοι τῶν παρόντων δεινῶν. (Ισοκρ. Εὐαγ. Εγκωίε. Παυσ. Απολλ. Βιβλίοθ. Βιβλ. Γ.).

Αἰ αὐτὴν λοιπὸν τὴν σωτηρίαν, ὁ Αἰακὸς κατὰ τὸν Παυσανίαν, ἢ οἱ προεςῶτες τῶν πόλεων, κατὰ τὸν Ισοκράτην, κατέςησαν ἐν κοινὸν ἱερὸν τῶν Ελλήνων. Εἶναι εὕκολον νὰ ὑποθέση τις, ὅτι κατ ἐκείνους τοὺς μυθώδεις καὶ ἀρχαίους αἰῶνας, καθ οὕς οὐδεμία σχεδὸν τῶν τεχνῶν δὲν ἦτον ἀκόμη γνωστὴ, αὐτὸ δὲν ἦτον ἀλλο, εἰμὴ βωμός τις, ἢ περίδολος, ἢ ἀνεξέργαστος λίθος, ἐπὶ τοῦ ὁποίου ὁ Αἰακὸς θυσιάσας προσηύξατο καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ὁ Πίνδαρος ὸνομάζει αὐτὸν βωμὸν πατέρος Ελλανίου (Νεμ. έ, ς, 19), καὶ

ο Παυσανίας ίερον και όχε ναόν.

Ιερὸν σημαίνει χωρίον τι, ή περίβολον οἰονδηποτέ εἰς θεόν τινὰ καθιερωμένον, το όποῖον συχνάκις περιεῖχε καὶ τὸν ναόν ὁ δὲ ναὸς δὲν ὑποθέτεται πάντοτε περιεχόμενος εἰς ἱερόν. Ο Παυσανίας λοιπὸν δὲν ἤθελε μετάχειριεθη ἀπροσδιορίςως τὴν λέξιν ἱερόν, διὰ νὰ φανερώση τὸν περὶ οὐ ὁ λόγος ναὸν, επίσημον μάλιστα διὰ τὴν γλυπτικὴν καὶ ἀρχιτεκτονικήν του ἀλλ' ἤθελεν ἐκ τοῦ ἐναντίου τὸν ἀνομάσει ἰδιαιτέρως ναὸν, ὡς ἀνωτέρω ἀνόμασε τοὺς ἐν Αἰγίνη τοῦ Απόλλωνος, Αρτέμιδος καὶ Διονύσου ναούς. Εκτὸς τούτου, ἀν παρατηρήσωμεν τὴν θέσιν τοῦ ναοῦ, θέλομεν ἰδεῖ ὅτι εὑρίσκεται εἰς ὁρόπεδον, ἡ μᾶλλον λοφόπεδον, ὅπου δηλ. δὲν εἶναι ἄλλη ἐκτασίς, εἰμὴ ὅση ἐζαρκεῖ πρὸς περιοχὴν τοῦ κτιρίου, χωρὶς νὰ ἐναπομένῃ ἱκανὸς τόπος δὶ ἄλλην οἰκοδομὴν, δὶ ἄλσος, ἡ δὶ ὅλα τὰ προσόμοια, ὅσα ἐν ἐνὶ λόγφ ὁμοῦ μὲ τὸν ναὸν σχηματίζουσι περιληπτικώς τὸ ἱερόν.

Το ίερον αυτό, η templum, καθώς το ονομάζει ο Ουάρρων,

hians, a circumstance that would better apply to a mountain

than to a hill. (wegi ong. καὶ πνευμάτ.)

The Temple now considered as that of Jupiter Panellenius belongs to the better days of Architecture, whilst the Sanctuary on the mountain is said to have been built by Æacus, λέγουσιν Αίακὸν ποιῶσαι, according to Pausanias, viz: three

generations before the Trojan War.

In consequence of a drought which oppressed Greece, and by continuing a long time caused the death of many, the Primates from every Town applied, by Pythias' advice, to Æacus as the only mortal whose prayers could propitiate Jupiter. Æacus offered sacrifices and prayed to Jupiter Panellemus, and rain came, and saved the people from their misery.

(Isocrates Evagor, Pausanias, Appol. Bibliot. L: 3.)

In acknowledgement of this Divine Mercy, Æacus, according to Pausanias, or the Primates of the Towns, according to Isocrates, erected one common Sanctuary in the name of all the Greeks. It can easily be supposed, that, in those fabulous ages, when scarcely any of the arts were known, such Sanctuary could be no other than an altar or enclosure, or an unwrought stone, on which Æacus offered his sacrifice and prayer. It is for this reason that Pindar calls it Βωμὸν ωατέρος Ελλανίου. (Νέμ. Ε΄ στ. 19.) "altar of the Father of the Greeks,, and Pansanias (Γερὸν) Sanctuary and not Temple.

Tepèr means any spot or enclosure dedicated to a Deity, which not unfrequently contained also the Temple. But the Temple is not supposed always to be contained in an Ispèr. Pansanias therefore would not have adopted indefinitely the term Ispèr to denote the Temple of which we treat, it being eminently remarkable for its Sculptures and its Architecture; on the contrary he would have called it a Temple, as he gave a little above the appellation of Temples to those of Apollo, of Diana, and of Dionyssus of Ægina. Moreover, were we to observe the situation of the temple we should perceive that it is placed on a mountain table land or rather on a hill table-land where there is no further extent than what is sufficient to contain the edifice, without any

(in Admirandis Arnobius, Lib. VI, contra Gentes), είναι το πρώτον, τὸ ὁποῖον παρὰ τῶν θνητῶν ἀνηγέρθη, καὶ ἔπρεπεν ἐπομένως νὰ ἦτο κατάλληλον μὲ τὴν ἀπλότητα τῶν ἀρχαιοτάτων ἐκείνων χρόνων. Δὲν δύναμαι δὲ νὰ ὑποθέσω, ὅτι οἱ μεταγενές εροι, ἀλλοιώσαντες τὴν ἀρχαίαν ἐκείνην οἰκοδομὴν, ἔκτισαν ἄλλην νέαν, ὅσον λαμπρὰν καὶ μεγαλοπρεπῆ ἤθελαν κτίσει ταύτην ἐπειδὴ ἡ παλαίωσις, καθὸς ἔλεγε σορῶς ὁ Αἰσχύλος, ἔμπνέει περισσότερον σέδας καὶ δι αὐτὰ τὰ ἄτεχνα εἴδωλα καὶ οὐδείς θνητὸς ἤθελε τολμήσει νὰ χαλάση τὸ ἱερὸν, τὸ ὁποῖον ἀφιέςωσεν ὁ Αἰακὸς, ὁ ἔκγονος τοῦ Διος καὶ πρόγονος τοῦ Τευκριδῶν γένους, καὶ ὅτις ἀποθανὸν ἀπελάμβανε μεγίστας τιμὰς σιμὰ εἰς τὸν Πλούτωνα καὶ τὴν Κὸρην, ὥστε καὶ πάρεδρος αὐτῶν ἀποκαιέστη καὶ τὰς κλείδας του ἄδου ἐφύλαττεν.

Αλλ ήθελεν ἐρωτήσει τις, ἀν ὁ σωζόμενος ναὸς δὲν ἀφιερώθη εἰς τον Πανελλήνιον Δία, ποῦ λοιπὸν καὶ πῶς ὁ Παυσανίας περιγράφει τοῦτον; Κἰς ταῦτα ἀποκρινόμενος λέγω, ὅτι ὁ ἰςορικὸς παρέδραμε τὴν περιγραφὴν τούτου, ὡς καὶ τὴν τοσούτων ἄλλων μνημείων καὶ κτιρίων. Καὶ τῆς Θεσμοφόρου Δήμητρος τὸν ναὸν, καὶ τὸν Ηράκλειον, τὸν μὲν ὑπὸ Ηροδότου μνημονευθέντα, (βιβλ. ϛ΄), τὸν δὲ ὑπὸ Ξενοφῶντος Ελλην. (βιβ. έ), ὁ Παυσανίας παντελῶς ἐσιώπησεν. Αὐτὸς περιελθὼν τὴν Αττικὴν καὶ Κορινθίαν ἦλθεν εἰς Αἴτιναν ἐκ τῆς Επιδαύρου, καὶ ἐντεῦθεν μετεδη εἰς Τροιζήνην, καὶ ἰσως δὲν εἶδε τὸν ναὸν, ὡς κείμενον μακρὰν τῆς πόλεως καὶ μὴ ὄντα ἄξιον ἐνὸς ἐπὶ τούτφ ταζειδίου, εἰς ἐκεῖνον μάλιστα τὸν αἰῶνα καθ ὁν αἱ οἰκοδομαὶ καὶ τὰ τεχνουργήματα ἦσαν ἀναρίθμητα.

Οπωσδήποτε καὶ αν ήναι τὸ περὶ τούτου, φαίνεται ὅτι αὐτὸς δὲν εἶδεν οὕτε τὸ ἰερόν εξάγεται μάλιστα ἐκ τῶν λόγων του, ὅτι δὲν ἐφαίνετο πλέον εἰμὴ ὁ τόπος αὐτοῦ, ἢ τὸ μέρος, ὅπου εμυθολογεῖτο ὅτι ὑπῆρχεν ἐπειδὴ περὶ τούτου, λέγει μόνον « Τὸ δὲ Πανελλήνιον ὅτι μὴ τοῦ Διὸς τὸ ἰερὸν ἄλλο το ὅρος ἀξιόλογον εἶχεν οὐδέν». Ηδύνατο λοιπὸν νὰ μεταχειρισθῆ τὸν παρωχημένον χρόνον εἶχεν, ὁμιλῶν περὶ ναοῦ, ὅστις ὑπάρχει ἀκόμη τὴν σήμερον; Εκ τῶν συλλογισμῶν τούτων συνάγεται, ὅτι εἰς τὸν περὶ οὖ ὁ λόγος ναὸν δὲν ἀνήκει παντάπασιν ἡ ἐπωνυμία τοῦ Πανελληνίου Διός.

space being left for any other building, forest, or in short any thing else which together with the Temple might

collectively form the Ispor.

This Ispar, or templum as it is called by Varron (in Admirandis Arnobius lib. VI, contra Gentes) being the first erected by mortals, it was necessary that it should be in accordance with the simplicity of those remote times. I can not however suppose that later generations had altered that ancient construction, and built a new one, however splendid and magnificent, because, according to Acschylus, antiquity inspires respect even for the roughly executed Statues; and no mortal would have dared to deface the Iepòr, dedicated by Aeacus, the descendant of Jupiter and the progenitor of the race of Teucrides, and who obtained after death such exalted honours near Pluto and Proserpine as to became their colleague in office, and keeper of the keys of hell.

It may be asked however, if the remaining temple was not dedicated to Jupiter Panellenius, to whom was it then dedicated? Our answer to the question is, that the Historian had overlooked the description of this, as he did that of several other monuments and edifices. Pausanias has not even named the temple of Diana @ couspepou, nor of Hercules, though the first is mentioned by Herodotus (Lib. 6) the second by Xenophon (Ellen. Lib. 5). He, after having travelled through Attica and Corinthia, arrived at Aegina from Epidaurus, and then passed over to Troezen, and perhaps never saw the Temple, it being far away from the Town, and not worth a journey for the purpose, particularly at that age, when the monuments of Architecture and the works of Art were innumerable.

However that may be, it appears more than probable that he had not seen the Ispor. It even results from his expressions that there was seen nothing else, but the site or place where it was supposed to have been. Of this he only says "The Panellenian mountain, save Jupiter's Sanctuary, had nothing else worthy of notice. " (דס בל וומיבאאמיוסי בדו שוו דסטי Διὸς τὸ Ιερὸν, ἄκλο τὸ ὅρος αξιόκογον είχεν ουδέν). Could he then

Αλλά ποῦ πρέπει νὰ θέσωικεν το ἰερὰν τοῦ Διὰς, καὶ εἰς ποῖον θεὸν ἀφιερώθη ὁ ναὸς, ὅστις ὑπάρχει ἀκόμη τὴν σήμερον; θέλομεν λοιπόν προσπαθήσει να λύσωμεν, όσον δυνάμεθα κάλλιον,

τὰ προτεθέντα προδλήματα.

Τὸ ἱερὸν τοῦ Διὸς ἦτον, ὡς εἴπομεν, ἐπί τινος ὄρους, τοῦ ὁποίου αί κορυφαί ἐκαλύπτοντο ὑπὸ τῶν νεφελῶν. Λέγω λοιπὸν, ὅτι αὐτὸ τὸ ὅρος εἶναι τὸ πρὸς μεσημβρίαν τῆς πόλεως ὑψούμενον, τὸ όποῖον τὴν σήμερον ὀνομάζεται τοῦ Προφήτου Ηλιοῦ. Τοῦτε δε είναι τὸ ὑψηλότερον μεταξύ τῶν ὀρέων τῆς Αἰγίνης καὶ είναι βέβαιον, ότι άχρι της παρούσης αι νεφέλαι, φαινόμεναι αὐτοῦ προμηνύουσιν ακόμη βροχήν είς τοὺς Αίγινήτας και Μεγαρεῖς άγνοῦ όμως ἀν τοῦτο συμβαίνη καὶ εἰς τούς Αθηναίους, ὡς καὶ είς τὸν καιρόν τοῦ Θεοφράστου. Τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Ελλήνων ἱερὰν ὡς γράφει ὁ Ισοκράτης, κατέστησεν ὁ Αίακς εἰς το μέρος, ἄπου προσηύζατο άλλ' όποῖος τόπος ήθελεν εἶσθαι άρμοδιώτερος διὰ νὰ ἐπικαλεσθῆ τις τὸν ἄνακτα τῶν θεῶν, τὸν πανόπτην, τὸν νεφεληγερέτην, παρά τὰς κορυφὰς τῶν ὀρέων; ὡς ὁ Ομηρικὸς Ζεὺς ἐπαινεὶ τὸν Εκτορα, διότι «πολλὰ βοῶν ἐπὶ μηρὶ ἔκηεν, Ιδης ἐκ κορυφήσι πολυπτύχου». καὶ ὀρθῶς ἔλεγεν ὁ Λουκιανὸ, ὅτι αἰ προσευχαί εγίνοντο επί των ορέων, επειδή «των ευχολέων άγχοθεν επακούουσιν οἱ θεοί. ». Τὰ ὄρη Υμητός, Πάρνης καὶ Αγχεσμός είγον δωμούς τοῦ Διὸς (Παυσ. Α΄. 32) και μύρια άλλα παραδιγματα ηδυνάμην νὰ φέρω άλλ'όλα ταῦτα ήθελον ἴσως θεωρηθη ώς επιδείζεις άργούσης παιδείας. όθεν είναι μόνον ίκανὸν έν τὸ ἐψεζῆς.

Ο Παυσανίας μαρτυρεί, ὅτι εἰς τὴν Σκιρώνην όδὸν «ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ όρους τῆ ἄκρα Διός ἐστιν Αφεσίου καλουμένου ναός. «Φασὶ δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ συμβάντος ποτέ τοῖς Ελλησιν αὐχμοῦ, θύσαντος Αἰακοῦ κατάτι δη λόγιον τῷ Πανελληνίω Διΐ ἐν Αἰγίνη, κομίσαντα δὲ άφεΐναι, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο Αφέσιον καλεῖσθαι τὸν Δία» (Α΄, 44). Δἰ αὐτὴν λοιπόν τὴν αἰτίαν, καὶ κατὰ τὸ κοινὸν ἔθος, ἐπὶ τῆς κορυφῆς τοῦ ὑψηλοτέρου τῶν ὀρέων τῆς πατρίδος του ὁ Αἰακὸς τὸ ίερον καθιέρωσε, καὶ δὶ ἄλλην ἀκόμη ἰδιαιτέραν. Τὸ ὅρος τοῦτο και εκ της πόλεως της Αίγίνης, έκ των Κυκλάδων, της Αττιmake use of the past tense in speaking of a Temple which exists to the present day? From the above reasons it may be concluded, that to the Temple of which we speak the appellation of Jupiter Panellenius can in no way apply.

But where shall we place Jupiter's Ispor? and to what Deity was the Temple, existing to the present day, dedicated? These questions we shall endeavour to answer in the best

manner we are able.

Jupiter's Iepor was, as we said before, situated upon a certain mountain, whose summits were at times covered by clouds. We think therefore this mountain to be the one seen on the southern side from the town, and now called the mountain of the Prophet Helias. It is the highest of the mountains of the Island, and it is certain that to this day the clouds collected on its summit foretell rain to the people of Ægina and Megara. But I am not aware if it affords to the Athenians, the same warning which it did at the time of Theophrastus. According to Isocrates, Eacus had established the Ispor common to the Greeks on the spot where he prayed; and what place could there be more befitting to invoke the king of the Gods, the all-observing, the cloudgathering, but the tops of mountains. The Homeric Jupiter praises Hector because " Πολλά ၆οων έπὶ μηρὶ εκηίεν, Ιδης έν Κορυφήσι πολυπτύχου ,. And Lucian properly said, that prayers were performed on the mountains because "των εὐχοκέων ἀγχύθεν ἐπακούουσιν οἱ Θεοὶ,,. The mountains Hymetus, Parnes, and Anchesmus, had altars of Jupiter (Pausanias 1. 32). I might bring forward a thousand other examples, but, in order to avoid all superfluous discussion, I shall be content with the following observation.

It is stated in Pausanias that on the road at Skirone ες έπι δε του όρους τη άκρα Διὸς Αφεσίου καλουμένου ναὸς. Φασί δε έπὶ ει του συμβάντος ποτε τοῖς Ελλησιν αὐχμοῦ, θύσαντος Αίακοῦ κατά ι τι δη λόγιον τω Πανελληνίω Διὶ έν Αίγίνη, κομίσαντα δὲ ἀφεῖνας, καὶ ιι δια τουτο Αφέσιον καλείσθαι τὸν Δία.,, (A', 44) It was for this reason, and conformably to the prevailing practice, that Æacus dedicated the Ispor upon the top of the highest κῆς, τῶν Μεγάρων καὶ όλου τοῦ διαστήματος, τὸ ὁποῖον ἐκετείνεται ἀπὸ τοῦ Ισθμοῦ ἄχρι τοῦ Σκυλλαίου ἀκρωτηρίου, φαίτνεται, καὶ σκοπεῖ τοὺς τόπους ἐκείνους, καὶ μακρόθεν πρῶτον ὑπὸ τῶν πλεόντων ἀνακαλύπτεται ὅθεν διὰ τοῦτο δικαίως ἀφέθη ὡς βωμὸς τοῦ ὑψίστου θεοῦ, ὅστις ἔσωσεν ἐκ τῆς κατεχούσης ἀνυδρίας τὴν Ελλάδα ὅλην, καὶ δὶ αὐτὴν ὁ Αἰακὸς τὸ ἱερὸν καθιέρωσεν, Ηδυνάμην τελευταῖον, διὰ νὰ δώσω περισσοτέραν εἰς τὰς εἰκασίας μου πιθανότητα μὲ ἄλλην εἰκασίαν, νὰ προσθέσω ὅτι άρμόδιως τόπος διὰ νὰ ἐπικαλεσθῆ τις τὸν Ομβριον Δία περὶ τῆς ποθουμένης βροχῆς, ἦτον ἐκείνος, ὅστις διὰ τῶν νεφελῶν, μὲ τὰς ὁποίας ἐκαλύπτετο, ἐθεωρεῖτο παρὰ τῶν θνητῶν ὡς βεβαίας βροχῆς μηνυτής.

Εκτός τούτου άξιοπαρατήρητοι είναι του Παυσανίου οἱ έξῆς λόγοι · « Αἰγίνη δὲ πρὸς τὸ ὄρος του Πανελληνίου Διὸς ἰοθσιν, ἔςιν Αφαίας ιερόν.». Την σήμερον όδεύων τις πρός τον ναόν έκ τῆς πόλεως, κάνεν ιχνος άρχαίου κτιρίου δεν συναντά, εν ώ εκ τοῦ εναντίου άναδαίνων πρὸς τὸ ὄρυς θεωρεῖ εἰς τοὺς πρόποδας αὐτοῦ ἀξιοθέατα έρείπια ένος άρχαΐου ναοῦ, τὴν περιγραφὴν τοῦ ὁποίου θέλομεν προσθέσει είς τὸ τέλος τοῦ Βιβλίου. Η σχιώδης καὶ μεμονωμένη κοιλάς, είς τὴν ὁποίαν φαίνονται τὰ ἐρείπια ταῦτα, πρέπει εἰς τὴν ἀγνὴν καὶ φίλην τῆς Αρτέμιδος νύμφην Αφαίαν, τῆς ὁποίας ή θήρα ἦτον ή τερπνοτέρα ἐνασχόλησις. Εντεῦθεν διά τινος δυσβάτου δρόμου ἀναβαίνει τις ἐπὶ τοῦ ὄρους ἀλλ'ἐπ' αὐτοῦ ματαίως ἤθελε ζητήσει του παρὰ τοῦ Αἰακοῦ ἀφιερωθέντος ἱεροῦ τὰ ἐρείπια, ἐπειδή ποτὲίσως δεν υπηρζε τουτο το ίερον, η δεν υπηρχε πλέον κατά τους χρόνους τοῦ Παυσανίου. Μολοντοῦτο περιεργείας χάριν θέλω είπεῖ, ότι κατὰ τὰς γενομένας διὰ προτροπῆς μου ανασκαφὰς καὶ έρεύνας, σώζονται έτι είς την κορυφην ίχνη τινά αρχαιοτάτου κτιρίου, το κυκλικόν σχήμα τοῦ όποιου έχει 46 ποδών ήμιδιάμετρον. Το πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ φαίνεται τετραμμένον πρὸς ἄρκτον, και έγεινε στρογγύλον διὰ ν' ἀντέχη κάλλιον εἰς τὴν όρμὴν τῶν ἀνέμων. Μία εξώστρα περιγυείζει τὸ κτίριον, καὶ μετ' αὐτὸ είς τὸ κατωφερές του όρους σώζονται έρείπια παλαιών οἰκοδομημάτων, τὰ ὁποῖα πιθανὸν νὰ ἦναι μεταγενέστερα. Οἱ λίθοι τοῦ κτιρίου, μέρος εἰς τὴν θέσιν των, μέρος κτισμένοι εἰς τὴν ἐκκληof his country's mountains. He had also an other reason. The above mountain is visible from the town of Aegina, from the Cyclades, from Attica, from Megara, and from all the tract extending from the Jsthmus to the Scyllaean Promontory, and it is the first eminence presented to the sailors' view. We might in short, with the object of giving to our conjectures a further probability by an other conjecture, add that the fittest place for invoking the Rainy (Oußgros) Jupiter to send the sought for rain was the one, which, on account of the clouds covering its top, was considered

by mortals as an unerring announcer of rain.

Moreover the following statement of Pausanias is also remarkable " Αίγίνη δὲ πρὸς τὸ όρος του ΓΙανελληνίου Διὸς ίουσιν, εστιν ,, Apaias Ispòr,, To this day, on going from the Town towards the Temple in question, you meet with no vestiges of any ancient building, whilst on the contrary in ascending the mountain you perceive on its lower parts the interesting ruins of an ancient Temple, a description of which is found at the end of this Number. The shady and isolated valley containing those ruins, befits the purity of Diana's friend the Nymph Aphaea, whose greatest delight was the chace. From thence the ascent to the mountain is by a difficult path. On this mountain one looks in vain for the ruins of the Ispon dedicated by Eacus, because it either had never existed there or it did not exist at the time of Pausanias. It may be observed however as matter of curiosity that, in consequence of the excavations and rescarches made by our recommandation, it was found that on the summit of the mountain some signs existed of a very ancient edifice, the circular shape of which measures a semidiameter of 46 fect. It appears facing the north, and had the circular form given to it that it might be enabled better to resist the violance of the winds. The building is surrounded by an ¿ξώστρα, after which immediately on the declivity of the mountain some ruins of old Edifices are perceived, probably of a later date. The stones of the building, some still retaining their original posiσίαν του Προφήτου Ηλιού, χειμένην είς το μέσον του παλαιός χτιρίου, και μέρος ένθεν κάκειθεν διεσπαρμένοι, έχουσιν ύψος 3 και 3 1/2 ποδών.

Δί όλους λοιπον τούς εἰρημένους λόγους εἶναι παντάπασιν ἀνοίκειος η ἐπωνυμία τοῦ Πανελληνίου Διός εἰς τόν σωζόμενον την σήμερον ναόν. Οὐτος δὲ πότε καὶ εἰς τίνα τῶν θεῶν ἀνοικοδομόθη;

Ο Ψευδοκολιάδης, καλλωπίζων με το σχέδιον του ναού τούτου το εσχάτως δημοσιευθεν παράξενον σύγγραμμά του Οδυσσοόμηρος λέγει ότι, αν ο ειρημένος ναὸς δεν ήναι σύγχρονος τοῦ Τρωϊκοῦ πολέμου, είναι μολοντοῦτο άρχαιότατος, επειδή εἰς τὴν κατὰ πολλὰ ἀπομεμακρυσμένην ἔποχὴν, καθ ἡν ο Αμασις επέστρεψεν εἰς τοὺς Ελληνας νὰ εγείρωσι ναοὺς εἰς τὴν Αἴγυπτον, οἱ Αἰγινῆται ἔκτίζον ἔνα εἰς τὸν Δία, ἴσως ἐκεῖνον, τοῦ ὁποίου ήδη θαυμάζονται τὰ ἐρείπια (σελ. 83).

Αφίνω νὰ ὀνομασθή παλαιὰ καὶ ἀπομεμακρυσμένη ἔποχή τοῦ Αμάσιδος ἀλλὰ δὲν θέλω παρατρέξει την παρατήρησιν, ὅτι αὐτοὶ οἱ λόγοι ἐπιστηρίζονται εἴς τινα τοῦ Ηροδότου διήγησιν (Βιβλ. Β΄), ὅτι δηλ ἐν ῷ ἐννέα πόλεις, τινὲς Ιωνικαὶ, τινὲς Δωρικαὶ καὶ μία Αἰολική, ἰδρύσαντο κοινὸν ναὸν εἰς τὴν Ναύκρατιν, χωριστὰ οἱ Αἰγινήται ἤγειρον τέμενος τοῦ Διός. Αλλὰ τί κοινὸν ἔχει τὸ παρὰ τῶν ἐν Αἰγύπτω ἐμπορευομένων Αἰγινητῶν οἰκοδομηθὲν ἐκεξ

τέμενος μὲ τὸν εἰς τὴν νῆσόν των ἀνεγερθέντα ναόν;

Ο σωζόμενος ναὸς, εἰς διες πκότα καὶ ἀποχωρισμένον τόπον εὐρισκόμενος, πρόκειται τῶν Αθηνῶν, τὰς ὁποίας σκοπεῖ, καὶ φαίνεται
ἐζ αὐτῶν γίνεται λοιπον φανερὸν ὅτι ἐξαιρέτως χάριν τῶν Αθηνῶν
ἀνηγέμθη. ὁ Δὲν εἶναι ἄρα ἀρμόδιον νὰ πιστεύση τις ὅτι ἀφιερώθη
εἰς τὴν θεὰν, ἐξ ἡς ὡνομάσθη ἡ πόλις, κατέναντι τῆς ὁποίας ἐκτίσθη; Ως δὲ ὁ εἰς τὸ Σούνιον καὶ ὁ εἰς τὴν ἀκρόπολιν ναὸς, οἰ
ὁποῖοι ἐκ τοῦ ἐν Αἰγίνη ναοῦ φαίνονται, οὕτω καὶ ὁ εἰς τὴν νῆσον
παύτην, κατὰ τὴν ἰδικήν μου γνώμην, ἀνηγέρθη εἰς τιμὴν αὐτῆς
τῆς θεᾶς Πρὸς τούτοις εἰς τὸν διαληφθέντα ναὸν δὲν εὐρέθη οὐδεμία εἰκὼν ἀνήκουσα εἰς τὸν Δία, ἀλλ'ἐκ τοῦ ἐναντίου μεταξὸ
τῶν ἀνακαλυφθέντων ἀγαλμάτων εὐρέθη τὸ τῆς Αθηνᾶς εἰς ὑπερφυσικὸν ἐνάς ημα, καὶ ἔς ατο εἰς τὸ μέσον τοῦ ἀετώματος. Οποίας

tion, some built in St Helias's Church, which is placed in the midle of the old edifice, and some scattered about, measure a height from 3 to 3 1/2 fect.

For all the above reasons, the appellation of Jupiter Panellenius is totaly inapplicable to the existing Temple. But when was it erected and to whom was it dedicated?

Pseudocoliades, by embellishing with the plan of this temple his extraordinary work lately published under the Title Odusso jungos, states, that, if the said Temple were not contemporary with the Trojan war, it is at all events of the greatest antiquity, because in the very remote period, when Amasis permitted the Greeks to erect temples in Ægypt, the Aeginitans were building one, perhaps the same whose ruins are already admired. (pag. 83).

We may allow the period of Amasis to be called a very remote one. But we can not with-hold the observation that these statements are founded on some account of Herodotas (B. 2), who states that, whilst nine cities, some Jonic, some Doric and one Æolic, had erected a common temple at Naucratis, the Aeginitans had separately erected a temple to Jupiter. But what has the temple built in Egypt by the Aeginitans trading there to do with the temple erected in

their own country?

The existing Temple, situated as it is in a distant and sequestered plain, is opposite Athens and easily seen from thence. It is therefore evident that it was erected exclusively to favour the Atheniaus. May it not be more probable that it was dedicated to the Goddess, whose name was given to the city, opposite to which it was built? We are rather of opinion that this temple was erected in honour of the Goddess, as were those of Sunium, and of the Acropolis, both visible from the temple of Aegina. Besides no statues, no representations belonging to Jupiter have been found in the above Temple, but on the contrary, of the other statues, that of Minerva alone was found to be of colossal dimensions, and placed in the front of the Aerouzzog. λοιπον ζητούμεν έναργεστέρας αποδείξεις του ότι εἰς αὐτήν ἦτον ἀφιερωμένος ο ναός;

Δὲν ἤθελεν ἴσως εἶσθαι τολμηρὸν νὰ εἴπωμεν ὅτι ὁ ναὸς οὖτος δὲν ἀνηγέρθη παρὰ τῶν Αἰγινητῶν, πρῶτον διὰ τὴν θέσιν ἐπειδὴ οἱ Αἰγινῆται δὲν ἤθελον ἀποφασίσει νὰ ἐγείρωσι ναὸν τοσοῦτον μακρὰν τῆς πόλεώς των καὶ κατέναντι τῆς ἀντιζηλου των, ἔνεκεν τῆς ὁποίας φαίνεται, ὡς εἴπομεν, ὅτι κατ' ἐξογὴν ἐκτίσθη. Εκτὸς τούτου, ὁ τρόπος τῆς ἀρχιτεκτονικῆς εἶναι καθ' ὅλα ὅμοιος μὲ τὸν τοῦ ναοῦ τῆς Αθηνᾶς τοῦ εἰς τὸ Σούνιον καὶ τοῦ εἰς τὴν Ακρόπολιν, ἐπὶ Περικλέους οἰκοδομηθέντας. Οὕτω δὲ φαίνεται ὅτι ἐν Αἰγίνη ἀνηγέρθη μετὰ τὴν 87 Ολυμπιάδα πτις εἶναι ἡ ἐποχὴ, κατὰ τὴν ὁποίαν οἱ Αθηναῖοι ἀνέστησαν τοὺς Αἰγινήτας ἐκ τῆς πατρίδος των, καὶ αὐτοὺς, καὶ τοὺς παίδας, καὶ τὰς γυναῖκός των, καὶ ὡς εἰς νῆσον ἐπικειμένην εἰς τὴν Πελοπάννητον, ἔς ειλαν εἰς κὐτὴν ἐποίκους καὶ οἰκήτορας ἐκ τῶν ἰδικῶν των, διανείμαντες κατὰ κλῆρον τὴν χώραν (Θουκυδ. βιβλ. Β΄. Διοδ. βιβλ. 12.

Αλλην είκασίαν ήμπόρει τις νὰ εξάξη περὶ τῆς ἐποχῆς, καθ ἡν όποδομήθη ό ναός ούτος, έκ των είς αὐτὸν εύρεθέντων άγαλμάτων άλλ'ούτε αὐτὰ, ούτε τὰ σχέδια, οὐδε ὅσα περὶ αὐτῶν ὑπό πεπαιδευμένων άνδρων έγράφησαν, έχομεν ήδη υπ'όψων. Τινèς, αν δεν απατώμαι, υπέθεσαν ότι αυτά ήσαν γλυμμένα κατά τλν όποίαν ο Παυσανίας ονομάζει Αίγιναίαν έργασίαν. Είναι χρεία ν'άποφασισθή πρώτον όποιος είναι ό διακεκριμένος της έργασίας ταύτης τρόπος, καὶ αν αὐτή τῷ ὄντι ἐνυπάρχη εἰς τὰ ερίημενα ἀγάλματα. Ο Winckhelman (Hist. de l'art du dessein Liv. 6 Ch. 1) λέγει ότι έκεῖνοι, οἴ τινες εἶδον τὸ ἀρχαῖον αἰγινητικὸν νόμισμα, περὶ οῦ ὁμιλεί ό Παυσανίας, τὸ όποῖον έχει δι ἐπίσημα τὴν τρίαιναν καὶ τὸ πρόσωπον της Αθηνάς, δύνανται νὰ κρίνωσιν, αν ό χαρακτήρ τοῦ προσώπου της θεάς δεικνύη άλλον τινά τέχνης ιδιαίτερον τρόπον. Κατά δυσυχίαν όμως, τὸ όποῖον ὁ σοφὸς Γερμανὸς μᾶς δ.δει ώς όδηγον νόμισμα δεν άνηκει ποσώς είς την Αίγιναν, αλλ' είς την Τροιζήνα κατά την ίδιαν του Παυσανίου μαρτυρίαν. Καλητέραν θε και άσφαλες έραν όδηγίαν δύνανται να εύρωσω οι έρας ται των

What clearer proof do we want that the Temple was dedica-

ted to that Goddess?

It would perhaps be not too bold to say that this Temple was not erected by the Aegenitans, principally on account of its situation. The Aeginitans would not have erected a Temple so far distant from their town and opposite their rising city, for which, as we said, it appears to have been originally erected. It should also be added, that the style of Architecture is in every respect resembling Minerva's Temples at Sunium and on the Acropolis, built in the days of Pericles. Thus it appears that the Temple of Aegina was erected after the 87 Olympiad, the period in which the Athenians had expelled the Aeginitans with their wives and children from their Island, into which, for its proximity to Peloponessus, they had sent settlers and inhabitants of their own people, to whom the land was allotted (Thucid B. 2. Diodor. B. 12.

Strab. B. S. Plutarch. vit. Pericl).

Another conjecture may be formed, as to the period when this temple was erected, by a minute observation of the Statues found therein. But neither these nor the drawings of them, nor what has been written by the learned concerning them, have we now at hand. By some, if we be not mistaken, it has been supposed that those statues were excecuted in what Pausanias calls the Aegenitan Workmanship, Alywalav Regastar. It is necessary first to decide what was the distinct style of this Workmanship, and if it be found in the said Statues. Winckhelman (Hist. de l'art. du Dessein Liv. 6. Ch. 1.) says that those who had seen the old Aegenitan Coin, of which Pausanias speaks, and which has the impress of a Tridant with Minerva's head, can judge if the face of the Goddess indicates any different style of art. Unfortunately how ever the Coin proposed as a guide by the learned German by no means belongs to Aegina, but to Træzen, according to the testimony of Pausanias himself. Those who feel a pleasure in like rescarches may find a better and safer guide in the designes with which many Aegina vases existing in our Museum are ornamented. There are also two Metopes, belonτοιούτων αναζητήσεων εἰς τὰς ζωγραφίας, μὲ τὰς ὁποίας εἶναι καθωραϊσμένα πολλά αίγινητικά άγγεῖα, σωζόμενα είς τὸ μουσεϊόν μας. Δύο μετόπας έχομεν πρὸς τούτοις, αἱ ὁποῖαι ἀνήκουσιν εἰς ἄλλον τινα τῆς Αἰγίνης ναόν ἀλλ' αὐταὶ ἄν καὶ διεφθαρμέναι, δὲν ἔχουσίτε εδιαίτερον, διὰ νὰ ὑποθέσωμεν ότε ἀνάγονται εἰς τὴν αίγιναίαν έργασίαν. Μένει λοιπόν, νομίζω, ν' ἀποφασίσωμεν, αν τὰ γλυπτὰ τοῦ περὶ οὐ ὁ λόγος ναοῦ πρέπη ν'ἀποδοθῶσιν εἰς αὐτὴν την διακεκριμένην έργασίαν, η μάλλον αν ή συςολή και ή ισχνότης, αϊτινες είναι ίδιαι αὐτῶν, δὲν ἀνήκουσι χοινῶς εἰς όλην τὴν ἀργαίαν έλληνικήν γλυπτικήν, πρίν ὁ Φειδίας καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ τοῦ αίωνος του Περικλέους δώσουν εἰς τὴν τέχνην τὸ σεμνὸν, τὸ μεγαλότεχνον, το άξιωματικόν, το άκριδες, την χάριν, άτινα έξαιρέτως χαρακτηρίζουν τὰ ἔργα των. Καὶ μολονότι εἴπομεν ὅτι ὁ ναὸς τῆς Αἰγίνης ἀνηγέρθη, ἀφ' οὐ οἱ Αθηναῖοι ἐκυρίευσαν τὴν νῆσον, τὸ όποῖον συνέβη κυρίως εἰς τὸν καιρὸν τοῦ Περικλὲους καὶ τῆς λαμπρᾶς ἐποχῆς τῆς γλυπτικῆς, δὲν ἔπεται ἀναγκαίως ἐκ τούτου ότι πρέπει να εθρωμεν άφεύκτως εἰς τὰ ἀγάλματα τοῦ ναοῦ παραδείγματα της γλυπτικής ταύτης έπειδή είναι χρεία να υποθέσωμεν ότι διά τινα καιρόν, ώς συνήθως συμβαίνει, είς του Φειδίου καὶ Πολυκλείτου τους συγχρόνους και είς τους μαθητάς τῶν τελευταίων τούτων ή άρχαία τέχνη διετηρήθη. Τοῦτο δὲ μόνον δυνάμεθα πιθανώς νὰ συμπεράνωμεν, ὅτι τὰ ἀγάλματα ταῦτα δὲν ἔγειναν πολύν καιρὸν ὕςερον ἀφ'οῦ εύρεθη ὁ νέος τρόπος τῆς γλυπτικής επειδή φθάσας είς τοσαύτην τελειότητα ήνάγκασεν όλους τούς μεταγενεστέρους τεχνίτας να τον μιμηθώσιν.

Αν ή είκασία αΰτη έχη τινὰ πιθανότητα, ο ναὸς ἀνηγέρθη ἀναμφιβόλως πλέον τῶν τεσσάρων αἰώνων πρὸ Χριςοῦ καὶ ἴσω; ὀρθῶς δύναται νὰ εἴπη τις ὅτι ἦτον σύγχρονος καὶ ὁμότυχος τοῦ Πλατωνος, ἐπειδή καὶ αὐτὸς παρομοίως ἔγεννήθη εἰς Αἴγιναν ὑπὸ ένὸς

τῶν κληρούχων Αθηναίων.

Εν & εξέθετον εξ τινκς τους λόγους τούτους, μοὶ ἐπροβλήθη ή απορία περί ένὸς λίθου κειμένου παρά τὸν εἰρημένον ναὸν μὲ τὰς λέξεις «Διὶ Πανελληνίφ» Αι λέζεις αύται δεικνύουσι πρώτον ότι δέν έχαράχθησαν παρά τῶν Αίγινητῶν, οἴ τινες ὡς Δωριεῖς ἄθελον

ging to an other Temple of Aegina, which, however mutilated, possess nothing particular that can make them be supposed to belong to the Aegenitan Workmanship. It remains then, to decide if the Sculptures found in the above mentioned Temple are to be attributed to that particular Workmanship, or rather, if the stiffness and lankness peculiar to them be not generally belonging to the old Grecian Sculpture. long before Phidias and the other artists of Pericles' time gave to art the dignity, the excellence, the majesty, the exactness, and the grace, that particularly characterize their works. Altho' we said that the temple of Aegina was erected after the Athenians had taken possession of the Island, which event took place exactly in the days of Pericles and at the brilliant Epoch of Sculpture, it does not necessarily follow that we should inevitably find in the Statues of the Temple speciments of that style of Sculpture; because it is necessary to suppose that, for a certain time, as it is often the case. the old style of the art had been followed up by the contemporaries of Phidias and Polycleitus, and their pupils. This only we can with any probability conjecture, that the Statues in question were executed not long after the invention of the new style of Sculpture, because on account of its having reached to so great a perfection, it must have obliged all subsequent Sculptors to imitate it. Supposing however the above conjecture not improbable, the Temple must have been erected at least four centuries before Christ. Perhaps we may even say, that it was contemporary with Plato born at Aegina, his mother being one of the Athenian settlers.

On submitting the above reasons to some of our friends, a question was started regarding a stone found near the said Temple bearing an inscription « Δά Πανελλητίω». In the first place the very words of the inscription prove it not to have been engraved by Aegenitans, who, as Dorians, would have written « Ζανὶ Πανελλαγίω» or rather « Ελλαγίω» because the adjective Πανελλήνιος does not appear older than the time of the Emperor Adrian; and Isocrates, speaking with regard to the Sanctuary dedicated by Æacus, calls it Κοινὸν τῶν Ελλήνων.

ἐπιγράψει « Ζανὶ Πανελλανίω» ἡ μάλλον « Ελλανίω » ἐπειδὴ τὸ ἐπίθετον, Πανελλήνιον δὲν φαίνεται ἀρχαιότερον τῶν χρόνων τοῦ αὐτοκράτορος Αδριανοῦ. Καὶ τῷ ὅντι ὁ Ισοκράτης, ὁμιλῶν περὶ τοῦ ἀφιερωθέντος παρὰ τοῦ Αἰακοῦ ἱεροῦ, τὸ ὀνομάζει κοινὸν τῶν Ελλήνων καὶ Ελλήνων Δία καλουσιν αὐτὸν ὁ Πίνδαρος καὶ Θεόφραστος καὶ Ελλήνιον ὀνομάζει ὁ Ηρόδοτος, τὸ ὁποῖον οἱ Ιωνες, Δωριεῖς καὶ Λιολεῖς ἱδρύσαντο εἰς τὴν Λίγυπτιακὴν Ναύκρατιν τέμενος. Δεύτερον, ἠδύνατό τις νὰ ἐπιγράψη ἀνάθημα τι, ἀφιερούμενον μὲν εἰς τὸν ἄνακτα τῶν θεῶν, θετόμονον ὅμως εἰς ναὸν ἄλλου θεοῦ; Τρίτον, ὁ ναὸς τῆς Αθηνᾶς ἠδύνατο νὰ ἦναι κοινὸς καὶ εἰς τὸν Δία, διὰ τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον, διὰ τὸν ὁποῖον καὶ ὁ Αδριανὸς, κατὰ τὸν Παυσανίαν « κατεσκευάσατο ναὸν Ηρας καὶ Διὸς Πανελληνίου, καὶ θεοῖς τοὶς πᾶσιν ἱερὸν κοινὸν (Βιδ. Α΄. κεφ. 43). »

Αλλ' όλοι οὐτοι εἶναι ἴσως περιττοὶ λόγοι' ἐπειδὴ, ἐάντις μὲ βε
δαιοῖ ὅτι εἶδε τὴν ἐπιγραφὴν ἐκείνην, ἄλλος πάλιν ἐκ τοῦ ἐναντίου
μ' ἐπιβεβαιοὶ ὅτι παίζων ἐνεχάραξεν αὐτὴν κατὰ τύχην εἶς τῶν
νεωτέρων περιηγητῶν. Τοιουτοτρόπως ἡ κενοδυζία ἐμόλυνε καὶ διέφθειρε πολλοὺς ἄλλους τοῦ ναοῦ λίθους γέμοντας ἤδη παντοίων
ἀλλοδαπῶν ὀνομάτων. αἱ δ'ἐναντίαι μαρτυρίαι, ἐπειδὴ εἶναι ἀνθρώπων ἴσων κατὰ τὸ ἀξιόπιστον, διαλύονται ἀμοιβαίως Εἶναι
δὲ βέβαιον ὅτι μ' ὅλας τὰς ὁποίας ἐγὼ ἔκαμον ἐρεύνας καὶ ἀναζητήσεις, δὲν ἠδυνήθην ν' ἀνακαλύψω τὸν ἐπιγεγραμμένον ἐκεῖνον
λίθον, ὁ ὁποῖος, καθὼς ἡ λύδιος, ἤθελε βασανίσει τὸν χρυσὸν
τῆς ἀληθείας.

Είς τοὺς πρόποδας τοῦ ὅρους τοῦ Προφήτου Ηλιοῦ, ὅπου κατὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν τῶν ἐγχωρίων ἦτο μέχρι τῶν τελευταίων χρόνων δάσος, κεῖνται ἐρείπια ἀξιοθεάτου τινὸς κτιρίου, τὸ ὁποῖον φαίνεται ὅτι ἢτο ναὸς τὸ πάλαι, κτισμένος ἀπὸ λίθον ἐγχώριον φύσεως Ηφαιτείου, ἀπὸ τοὺς Ορυκτολόγους καλούμενον Τραχίτην λευκόφαιον κυανόχροον. Εμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ ὑπάρχει τοῖχος ἔχων μῆκος πρὸς μὲν τὸ (α) 132 ποδ. πρὸς δὲ τὸ (β) 45. ἀμφότεροι ἐνωμένοι σχηματίζουσι μεγαλοπρεπῆ ἐζώστραν ἀπὸ 75 ποδῶν πλάτους. Η γωνία τοῦ τοίχου τῆς ἐξώστρας εἰναι τέχνης ἀξιολόγου, ἀπὸ μεγάλους λίθους

Pindar and Theophrastus call it Ελλήνων Δία; and Herodotus calls Ελλήνων the Temple erected by the Jonians, Dorians, and Aeolians, in the Egyptian Naueratis. In the second place, some one might have engraved a votive offering, dedicated to the Sovereign of the Gods, but he might have placed it on the Temple of an other God. Thirdly, the temple of Minerva might have also been common to Jupiter, for the same reason for which Adrian, according to Pausanias "had erected a temple of Juno, and of Jupiter Panellenius, intended as a common Sanctuary to all the Gods (B. 1. Ch. 43) " Κατεσκευάσατο ναὸν Ηρας καὶ Διὸς Παγελληνίου, καὶ Θεοῖς τοῖς πᾶτιν Ιερὸν κοινόν,,...

But probably all the above is superfluous, because, whilst on the one hand some assert that they have seen this Inscription, others on the contrary positively attest that it has been engraved in jest by one of the modern Travellers. In the like manner idleness has defaced and destroyed many other stones belonging to the Temple covered already with various foreign names. These conflicting testimonies are mutually neutralized, because both derived from persons of credit. In spite however of all the researches I made, I have not been enabled to find out the stone with the Inscription in question, which like a touch stone would have assayed the gold of truth.

At the Foot of S.t Helias's Mountain, where, according to the testimony of the natives; a forest existed till very lately, the ruins of a remarkable Edifice are seen, which appear to be those of an ancient Temple built of indigenous materials consisting of the sort of stone called *Trachite Eluish grey* and considered to be a volcanic production.

In front of this there is a wall having towards A a length of 132 feet, and towards B 45 feet. Both walls united form a magnificent terrace of the breath of 75 feet. The angle of the terrace wall is extremely well built, and it is composed of large stones fitted with great exactness and nicety. Some of them are from 8 3/4 to 9 1/6 feet long, and 3 4/6 broad, as seen in the Plate inserted at

με μεγάλην ακρίβειαν και έπιτηδειότητα προσηρμοσμένους. Τινές έξ αὐτῶν ἔχουσι μῆκος 8 3/4 καὶ 9 1/6 ποδ. 2 καὶ 3 4/6 πλάτος, καθώς ὁ ἀριθ. Ι. εἰς τὴν ἐν τέλει τοῦ βιβλίου ταχθεῖσαν Σχεδιογραφίαν φανερόνει. Ολος ὁ τοῖχος δεν είναι με την ίδίαν τέχνην κτισμένος. Αλλά πρός μέν τὸ (α) τελειόνει μετά 20 πόδας καὶ ἀρχινᾶ ἐν εἴδει κυκλωπικής τέχνης (Σχεδ. ἀρ. 2) Πρὸς τὸ (β) όμως εξακολουθείται η ίδία τέχνη μέχρι τέλους. Μετά την έξωστραν ακολουθεί έτερος τοΐχος, ωσαύτως αξιολογωτάτης τέχνης. Τὸ μῆχος αὐτοῦ είναι περίπου 100 ποδῶν. Εν μέρος αὐτοῦ ἀποτελεῖ την σήμερον την μίαν πλευράν της Εκκλησίας τοῦ Ταξιάρχου Μιχαηλ. Ο τοίχος ούτος με τον άντικου ιστάμενον σχεδόν παραλλήλως, τοῦ ὁποίου τὰ ἔχνη μόλις τὴν σήμερον διακρίνονται, φαίνονται ότι ἐσχημάτιζον ἱερόν τι, ἐπειδλ κατὰ τὴν ἀνασκαφὴν ἀνεκαλύφθη μεταξύ αὐτῶν λιθόστρωτον ἐκ μεγάλων πλακῶν κυκλωπικῶς συνδεδεμένων, έπάνω του όποίου είναι άλλαι μεγάλαι πλάκες, τῶν όποίων ή ἀπόστασις πρὸς ἀλλήλας εἶναι κανονικὴ καὶ φαίνεται ότι ισταντο στύλοι επ' αὐτάς. Ως τὸ σχέδιον φανερόνει, ή έχκλησία καὶ τὰ περὶ αὐτὴν νεώτερα οἰκήματα (κελία), τὰ ὁποῖα είναι κτισμένα είς την μέσην του κτιρίου, δεν μᾶς συγχωρούσι διὰ νὰ προσδιορίσωμεν όλα τὰ θεμέλιά του μὲ ἀκρίβειαν. Οχι μακράν τοῦ κτιρίου ευρίσκεται μία κιστέρνα άρκετὰ μεγάλη.

Τεχμήριον δὲ τῆς άγιότητος τοῦ τόπου είναι προσέτι μία ςήλη, καὶ εἰδός τι τετραγώνου ὑποκοίλου λάρνακος, ὁ ὁποῖος ἐχρησίμευεν ίσως είς τὰς θυσίας. Κατὰ μξικος ἐπὶ τῆς στήλης είναι έγχαραγ-

μένη ή τετράστιχος αύτη ἐπιγραφή.

ΗΟΣΤΟΔΑΓΑΛ ΜΑΝΕΘΕΚΕ ΦΙΛΟΣΤΡΑΤΟΣΕΠΟΝΥΜΑΥΤΟ... ΑΤ.ΑΤΔ.ΕΙΟΙΓΕΝΟΔΑΜΟ... O. OAONYMA.

Το σχήμα των χαρακτήρων είναι άρχαῖον, καὶ μάλιστα των στοιχείων Λ, Ρ, Σκαὶ Φ, ὡς φαίνεται εἰς τὴν παρούσαν σχεδιογραφίαν (άρ. 3). Τὸ Η κεῖται άντι δασείας, καὶ τὸ Ε άντὶ τοῦ Η.

the end of this Number. The whole wall, however, does not appear to have been built with the same skill. Towards A, after a portion of 20 feet well built wall, the rest assumes the Cyclopean form (see fig. N.º 2.) But towards B the same nice construction is continued to the end. After the terrace, an other wall followes equally well made. Its length is about 100 feet. Part of it forms even at the present day one of the sides of the Church of S.t Michael. This wall together with the other standing opposite almost parallel to it, and scarcely to be traced at present, appears to have formed a Sanctuary, because on excavating a pavement was found between them, made of large slabs joined together after the Cyclopean manner, upon which other large slabs lay at regular distances from each other, apparently intended to support columns, as it is seen by the sketch (1). The Church and the surrounding modern buildings, erected in the very midle of the Edifice, did not permit us to define with any accuracy all the foundations of the building. Not far from that a pretty large Cistern is found.

A further proof of the sanctity of this place is also presented by the existence of a column, and a sort of a quadrangular hollow Urn, used probably for the Sacrifices. Lengthways upon the Column the following inscription in

four lines is seen engraved;

ΗΟΣΤΟΔΑΓΑΛΜΑΝΕΘΕΚΕ ΦΙΛΟΣΤΡΑΤΟΣΕΠΟΝΥΜΑΥΤΟ.. ΑΤ... ΑΤΔ. ΕΙΟΙΓΕΝΟΔΑΜΟ..., Ο., ΟΛΟΝΥΜΑ.

The form of the letters is ancient, and particularly of the letters APE and Φ , as seen in the annexed plate. The H is used as the aspirate and the E instead of H.

These are the only words that can at present be deciphered "He who dedicated the ἄγαλμα was surnamed Philostratus. The people made. In this place the Αγαλμα means

Ταύτας μόνον τὰς λέξεις ἴσως ἔμπορεῖτις νὰ μαντεύση. '' Ος τόδ' ἄγαλμ' ἀνέθηκε, Φιλόστρατος ἐπώνυμα αὐτῷ... ἐποίησεν ὁ Δάμος,... Εδῶ τὸ Αγαλμα σημαίνει ἀπλῶς τεχνούργημά τι ἠγλαϊσμένον μ' ἐπικοσμήματα, κατὰ τὰ ἀναφερόμενα ἀπὸ τὸν Ηρόδοτον Φηβαϊκὰ ἐπιγράμματα. Ιδε Β. έ § 60 καὶ 61, ἢ πιθανῶς ἀνάγεται εἰς ἀνάθημάτι χρήσιμον εἰς λατρείαν, τὸ ὁποῖον ἐτέθη ἐπὶ τῆς στήλης, ἤτις φέρει καὶ τὴν ἐπιγραφήν. Η διάμετρος τῆς βάσεως αὐτῆς τῆς ςήλης εἰναι πολὸ μεγαλητέρα ἀναλογικῶς ὡς πρὸς ἐκείνην τῆς κορυφῆς της. Επειδὴ ἐνῷ τὸ ὕψος αὐτῆς εἰναι μέτρων ι 1/2, ἡ τῆς βάσεως διάμετρος εἰναι 0,65 καὶ ἡ τῆς κορυφῆς ο,53.

Περὶ τὸ χεῖλος τοῦ Λάρνακος ἀναγινώσκεται καὶ τὸ διασωθέν λείψανον ἐτέρας ἐπιγραφῆς τῆς ἐπομένης,

ΚΟΛΙΑΔΑ ΣΗΑΒΛΙΟΝΕΠΟΙΕΣΕΗΑΛ ΤΙΑΔΟ.

Ταύτης την ἀκριδες έραν διασάφησιν ἀφίνοντες εἰς ἄλλους πολυμαθεστέρους Οἰδίποδας, παρατηρούμεν μόνον την μεταξύ τῶν
ἄλλων προδηλοτέραν λέξιν Κολιάδα, ὄνομα, ὡς πάντες ἐξεύρουσι,
παραλίου τινὸς τῆς Αττικῆς τόπου, καὶ σημειόνομεν παρὰ τὸ
διορώμενον Αβλίου τινος κύριον ὄνομα, καὶ τὸ ῥῆμα ἐποίησε,
τὸ ὁποῖον ἀναφέρεται, ὅχι μόνον εἰς τὸν ἐργασάμενον τὸ ἀφὶερωθὲν λιθούργημα, ἀλλὰ καὶ εἰς τὸν παραγγείλαντα τὴν ἐργασίαν.

simply an ornamented work, something like the Θηβαϊκά ἐπιγράμματα mentioned by Herodotus (B. 20 § 60 and 61); or
probably it relates to some offering used in worship, placed
there after the dedication of the column bearing the inscription; the diameter of the Column's base is rather
out of proportion with respect to its upper end; for, whilst
its whole length measures 1 1/2 mêtres, the diameter of the
base measures 0,65 and that of the head 0,53.

Round the brim of the urn the remains of an other In-

scription are seen, which is as followes.

ΚΟΛΙΑΔΑ ΣΗΑΒΛΙΘΝΕΠΟΙΕΣΕΗΑΛ ΤΙΑΔΟ.

Leaving the more accurate illustration of this to other better diviners, we have only to observe that the well-defined word κολιάδα, was a term well known as denoting a maritime place of Attica, and we further remark, besides the apparent name of a certain Αβλιου, also the verb Επούησε, which refers not only to the person that wroght the dedicated work, but also to the person who commissioned the execution of it.